

BEFORE THE PRESIDING DISCIPLINARY JUDGE

C. LOPEZ

DISCIPLINARY CLERK

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF
THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,

DAVID S. GINGRAS

Bar No. 021097

Respondent.

No. PDJ 2026-9010**RESPONSE TO STATE
BAR'S EXPEDITED
MOTION TO QUASH**

Lawyers have an ethical duty to avoid needless multiplication of the proceedings and to reduce the Court's workload if possible. *See* Sup. Ct. R. 41(b)(8). This happens in many different ways. For instance, the rules require lawyers to do things like promptly agreeing to reasonable requests for discovery, and "agree[ing] to reasonable requests for waiver of procedural formalities." Sup. Ct. R. 41(c).

Consistent with those duties, Respondent asks the PDJ to deny the State Bar's Expedited Motion to Quash a subpoena issued to a witness named Markus Risiger on the basis the **motion is moot**. The motion is moot because Respondent has withdrawn the subpoena to which the bar objects, without prejudice. Thus, there is no need for this Court to waste its time considering the Bar's motion.

Had Bar Counsel simply responded Respondent's written request to confer about this issue (before the Bar's motion was filed), the present motion would have been entirely unnecessary. In any case, this Court is busy and it does not need to expend further time on this discrete issue.

Respectfully submitted Sept. 30, 2026.



David S. Gingras, #021097
Gingras Law Office, PLLC
Tel.: (480) 264-1400
David@GingrasLaw.com
Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Original filed and COPY of the foregoing emailed

September 30, 2026 to:

Craig Henley

Craig.Henley@staff.azbar.org

Senior Bar Counsel

